

## UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

January 8, 2007

To the Editor Austin American Statesman

Your Jan. 2 editorial is based on a New York Times article that confused the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's ongoing work on security-related rules. The agency has been considering aircraft impacts at new nuclear reactor designs long before the nuclear industry's letter of December 8, 2006, which was mistakenly portrayed as asking for new standards. That letter proposes nothing we haven't already considered and does not ask for tougher design standards. Instead, the industry asked us for a last-minute change to proposed regulations concerning the process for licensing new reactors that are currently in front of the Commission for a vote. Those proposed regulations are not the proper place to include security issues.

The NRC has already evaluated the operating reactor fleet's ability to safely deal with the aftermath of an aircraft impact, and I and my fellow Commissioners are currently considering how to evaluate new reactor designs. These evaluations, which will be done long before any new reactor is built, could result in design modifications prior to construction. Such evaluations would also identify features already present in the design for dealing with large fires and explosions. The Commission will consider all proposals – those of the NRC staff, industry and others – before we decide on how to best move forward with a proposed rule for such evaluations. The proposed rule on evaluating new reactor designs will then be issued for public comment before the Commission issues a final rule. The NRC rulemaking process is a carefully considered process that maintains the NRC's pledge to keep public health and safety as our top priority, along with conducting our work as publicly and transparently as possible.

The editorial is also mistaken concerning my "eagerness" for licensing new reactors. I am only eager to ensure the NRC is a fair and efficient regulator. First and foremost, the NRC has the responsibility to judge any new reactor license application against the absolute standard of protecting the public and the environment, regardless of how that may affect the licensing process. That said, I firmly believe that the NRC staff, which I have found to have some of the best technical expertise in the world, can be more efficient in the review of new reactor license applications, so that we can complete our review of those applications within a shorter than currently estimated time frame.

Dale E. Klein Chairman